



THE EPISTLES OF CICERO

SOUTHERN BRANCH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY

LOS ANGELESTICHE.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND HINTS FOR STUDY

BY EMIL HÜBNER

Professor in the University of Berlin
Examiner in Latin, Johns Hopkins University

49078

BALTIMORE

Publication Agency of the Johns Hopkins University
November, 1888



COPYRIGHT, 1888, BY N. MURRAY.

JOHN MURPHY & CO., PRINTERS, BALTIMORE.

Saltist

PA 6298 H87

THE EPISTLES OF CICERO.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND HINTS FOR STUDY.

Compare Hübner, Grundriss 4 (1878), p. 91 ff.

Teuffel, Römische Litteraturgeschichte 4 (1882), p. 322 ff.

R. Schirmer, Jahresbericht (since 1829), Philologus, xlv, 1886, p. 133 ff.

J. H. Schmalz, Jahresbericht (1881-84), Bursian's Jahresbericht, xxxix, 1884, p. 34 ff.

C. Lehmann, Zeitschrift für Gymnasialwesen, 1884, Jahresberichte, p. 1 ff., and 1888, p. 253 ff.

Editions of Orelli-Baiter, Baiter-Kayser, Süpfle-Böckel, Hofmann-Andresen, Frey, Wesenberg, Boot ² (1886), Frontin (1881), Tyrrell (1885-86).

A good deal has been done in the way of close examination of the Epistles of Cicero and his correspondents—though the subject is far from being exhausted (the special literature since 1878 has been appended to the following paragraphs)—but the general questions about epistolography, Greek and Roman, its origin and peculiarities, its development in prose and poetry, have thus far been only perfunctorily treated.

Origin of epistolography in the schools of the philosophers (Isocrates, Epicurus).

Its relation to and difference from λόγοι and διάλογοι.

Different ways of adaptation of the Greek models in Rome (Sp. Mumnius, Varro's logistorici, Horace).

Combination of the natural and the artificial epistolary style.

Extent and variety of Cicero's epistolary work.

His followers and imitators (Pliny, Apollinaris Sidonius).

CRITICISM IN GENERAL.

F. Bücheler, zur Kritik der eieronischen Briefe, Rhein. Mus., xi, 1857, p. 509 ff.

The same, coniectanea, Rhein. Mus., xxxiv, 1879, p. 352 ff.

W. G. Pluygers, Mnemosyne, xi, 1862, p. 296 ff., ad C. epistolas, New Series, ix, 1881, p. 113 ff.

J. N. Madvig, adversaria critica, vol. II, 1873, p. 232 ff., vol. III, 1884, p. 155 ff.

H. Schwarz, miscellanca philologica, Leipzig (Tübingen), 1878 (pp. 47), 8. Siesbye, opuscula philologica ad Madvigium, p. 234 ff.

The same, det philologisk-historisk samfunds mindeskrift, Kopenhagen, 1878, 8.

A. Goldbacher, C. ad Att., iii, 2, Zeitschrift für die österreichischen Gymnasien, 1878, p. 335.

The same, Wiener Studien für class. Philologie, II, 1880, p. 300 f.

M. Gillbauer, Wiener Studien, I, 1879, p. 75 ff., 246 ff.

C. G. Cobet, de locis quibusdam in C.'s epistolis ad familiares et ad Atticum, Mnemosyne, viii, 1880, p. 182 f.

J. G. Boot, observationes criticae ad M. T. C. epistolas, Amsterdam, 1880 (2-67 pp.), 4.

C. A. Lehmann, quaestiones Tullianae, Hermes, xv, 1880, p. 352 ff. [Wölfflin's Archiv, III, 1886, p. 570.]

The same, quaestiones Tullianae, I, de C. epistulis, Leipzig, 1886 (viii, 136 pp.), 8.

[Th. Stangl, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1886, p. 368. J. H. Schmalz, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, vi, 1886, p. 913 f. L. Gurlitt, ibid., p. 918 f.]

S. Brandt, zu C. ad Att., Rhein. Mus., xxxvi, 1881, p. 630 f.

K. Schirmer, C. ad Att., I, 19, Philologus, xl, 1881, p. 387.

Ch. Nisard, notes zur les lettres de C., Paris, 1882 (II, 240 pp.), 8.

[C. Jullien, Revue Archéologique, xv, 1883, p. 281 f. C. Lehmann, Philol. Wochenschrift, III, 1883, p. 1159.]

A. Palmer, Cic. ad Att., xii, 18 and 48, Journal of Philology, xi, 1882, p. 242.
 P. Starker, symbolae criticae ad C. epistolas, Breslau (Neisse), 1883 (47 pp.), 8.

[J. H. Schmalz, Philol. Wochenschrift, III, 1883, p. 519. F. Becher, Philol. Rundschau, III, 1883, p. 1356.]

J. N. Madvig, adversaria critica, Vol. III, 1884, p. 155 ff.

On C.'s letters, Hermathena, 1884, No. 10.

A. Otto, zu den Briefen C.'s ad Atticum, Rhein. Mus., xli, 1886, p. 364 ff.

I. The Original Collections.

R. F. Leighton, historia critica M. T. C. epistolarum ad familiares, Leipzig, 1877 (44 pp.), 8.

[F. Rühl, Centralblatt, 1877, p. 1477.]

L. Gurlitt, de M. T. C. epistolis eorumque pristina collectione, Göttingen, 1879 (47 pp.), 8.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 525 f.]

The same, der Briefwechsel zwischen C. und D. Brutus, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1880, p. 609 ff.

[K. Schirmer, Phil. Anz., xi, 1881, p. 525 f.]

The same, gab es im Alterthum eine Sammlung der epistolae Cic. ad Pompeium? Berl. Philol. Wochenschrift, vii, 1887, p. 891 ff.

The same, Nonius Marcellus und die Cicerobriefe, Steglitz (Berlin), 1888, (24 pp.), 4.

What can be learned about the original collections from the present condition of the four extant collections?

II. The Manuscripts.

- F. Rühl. zur Handschriftenkunde von C.'s Briefen, Rhein. Mus., xxx, 1875, p. 26 ff.
- The same, über den Codex Laudensis 53, 35 nebst Nachträgen zu den neusten Forschungen über C.'s Briefe, Rhein. Mus., xxxvi, 1881, p. 11 ff.

The same, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1883, p. 750.

A. Hortis, M. T. C. nelle opere del Petrarca e del Boccaccio..., con lettere inedite di Matteo d'Orgiano e di Coluccio Salutati a Pasquino de Capellis, Triest, 1878 (102 pp.) 8.

[F. R(ühl), Centralblatt, 1879, p. 1426.]

- G. Voigt, über die handschriftliche Ueberlieferung von C.'s Briefen, Berichte der sächs. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften philol. histor. Classe., 1879, p. 41 ff.
 - [L. Geiger, Göttinger gelehrte Anzeigen, 1879, p. 1298 ff. K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 522.]
- A. Viertel, die Wiederauffindung der ciceronischen Briefe durch Petrarca, eine philologische Untersuchung, Königsberg, 1879 (44 pp.), 4.
 - [G. Voigt, Centralblatt, 1879, p. 1425. L. Geiger, Göttinger gelehrte Anzeigen, 1879, p. 1465 f. K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 521. K. Lehmann, Philol. Wochenschrift, ii, 1882, p. 291.]

The same, die Wiederauffindung von C.'s Briefen durch Petrarca, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1880, p. 231 ff.

The same, Flavius Blondus über die Auffindung der ciceron. Briefe, Rhein. Mus., xxxvi, 1881, p. 150 ff.

Fr. Schmidt, zu den Briefen ad Atticum, Blätter für das bayerische Gymnasialschulwesen, 1876, p. 235 ff.

The same, zur Kritik und Erklärung von C.'s Briefe an Atticus, Nürnberg, 1879 (40 pp.), 4.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 529 f.]

The same, der Codex Tornesianus der Briefe C.'s (Festschrift für Heerwagen, Erlangen, 1883, 8.), p. 18 ff.

[J. H. Schmalz, Philol. Rundschau, iv, 1884, p. 177. K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xiii, 1883, p. 764 ff.]

L. Mendelssohn, zur Ueberlieferung von C.'s Briefen, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1880, p. 803 ff; 1884, p. 108 ff. The same, zur Geschichte der handschriftlichen Ueberlieferung der Briefe C.'s in Frankreich, Rhein. Mus., xxxvi, 1881, p. 474 ff.

The same, de C. epistolarum codice Turonensi, Mélanges Graux (Paris, 1884), p. 169 ff.

The same, Weiteres zur Ueberliferung von C.'s Briefen, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1884, p. 108 f. 845 ff.

G. Schepss, handschriftlicher Fund zu C.'s Briefen an Atticus, Blätter für das bayerische Gymnasialschulwesen, 1883, p. 7 ff. 111.

O. Streicher, de C. epistolis ad familiares emendandis, Commentationes philologae Jenenses, vol. iii, 1883, p. 99 ff.

[W. Dittenberger, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1885, p. 569. Purser, Hermathena, 1885, p. 277 ff., 1886, p. 43 ff.]

H. Ebeling, Handschriftliches zu C.'s Briefen an Attieus, Philologus, xlii, 1884, p. 403 ff.

O. E. Schmidt, zur Geschichte der Florentiner Handschriften von C.'s Briefen, Rhein. Mus., xl, 1885, p. 611 ff.

The same, die handschriftliche Ueberlieferung der Briefe C.'s an Atticus, Q. Cicero, M. Brutus in Italien (Abhandlungen der Sächs. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Bd. x), Leipzig, 1887 (107 pp.), 4.

[F. R(""", Centralblatt, 1887, p. 1769 ff. Th. Stangl, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1887, p. 1141.]

Before L. Mendelssohn's edition with the critical apparatus is published, no final judgment can be given. Nevertheless:

What is the value of the Mediceus of the Epistles ad Atticum in comparison with the other manuscripts?

What is the value of the Vercellensis of the Epistles ad familiares in comparison with the other manuscripts?

III. The contents of the four collections.

The chronology.

C. Bardt, quaestiones Tullianae, Berlin, 1866 (46 pp.), 8.

B. Nake, der Briefwechsel zwischen Cicero u. Decimus Brutus, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, Supplementband viii, 1875-76, p. 647 ff.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 525,]

O. E. Schmidt, de epistolis et a Cassio et ad Cassium post Caesarem occisum datis quaestiones chronologicae, Leipzig, 1877 (57 pp.), 8.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 525 f.]

The same, zur Chronologie der Correspondenz C.'s seit Caesars Tod, Jahrbücher für class. Philol., 1884, p. 331 ff. Compare also Jahrbücher, 1883, p. 863 f.

The same, die letzten Kämpfe der römischen Republik, historische Studien I (Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, Supplementband xiii, p. 665 ff.), Leipzig, 1884 (iii, 62 pp.), 8.

[F. R(ühl), Centralblatt, 1886, p. 227.]

- Th. Schiche, zu C.'s Briefen an Atticus, I, Festschrift des Werderschen Gymnasiums (Berlin, 1881, 8.), p. 225 ff.
- The same, zu C.'s Briefen an Atticus, II, Programm des Werderschen Gymnasiums, Berlin, 1883 (24 pp.), 4.
- The same, zu C's Briefen an Atticus, Hermes, xviii, 1883, p. 588 ff.
 - [J. F., Philol. Rundschau, ii, 1882, p. 1300 f.]
- L. Moll, de temporibus epistolarum Tullianarum quaestiones selectae, Berlin, 1883 (49 pp.), 8.
 - [E. Ruete, Philol. Rundschau, III, 1883, p. 1222 ff.]
- E. Ruete, die Correspondenz C.'s in der Jahren 44-43, Strassburg (Marburg), 1883 (122 pp.), 8.
 - [H. Schiller, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xxxvi, 1883, p. 496. P. Meyer, Philol. Wochenschrift, iii, 1883, p. 1313 f. L. Gurlitt, Philol. Rundschau, iii, 1883, p. 712 f.]
- E. Sternkopf, quaestiones chronologicae, de rebus a Cicerone inde a tradita provincia Cilicia usque ad relictam Italiam gestis deque epistolis intra illud tempus (a. 701 ad 705), datis acceptisve, Marburg, 1884 (70 pp.), 8.
- A. E. Koerner, de epistolis a Cicerone post reditum ad finem a. 700 datis quaestiones chronologicae, Leipzig (Meissen), 1885 (67 pp.), 8.
 - [O. E. Richter, Wochenschrift für klass. Philologie, ii, 1885, p. 1609 f. L. Gurlitt, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, vi, 1886, p. 1369 f. St(ernkopf), Neue Philol. Rundschau, vii, 1887, p. 8.]
- W. Judeich, Caesar im Orient, kritische Uebersicht der Ereignisse vom 9 Aug. 48 bis Oct. 47, Leipzig, 1885 (viii, 205 pp.), 8.
 - [E. Klebs, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1885, p. 1009. Centralblatt, 1886, p. 978. G. Thouret, Wochenschrift für klass. Philologie, II, 1885, p. 1547 f. R. Schneider, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, v, 1885, p. 748.]
- G. Rauschen, ephemerides Tullianae rerum inde ab exilio Ciceronis (Mart. lviii, a. Chr.) usque ad extremum annum LIV gestarum, Bonn, 1886 (64 pp.), 8.
- [O. E. Schmidt, Wochenschrift für klass. Philologie, v, 1888, p. 427.]
 J. Ziehen, ephemerides Tullianae rerum inde a xvii mensis Martis 49 a.
 Chr. usque ad ix mensis Augusti 48 a. Chr. gestarum, Bonn (Budapest), 1887 (58 pp.), 8.
- K. Lehmann, [on Boot's second edition] Wochenschrift für klass. Philologie, iii, 1886, p. 935 ff., 970 ff.
- The same, zur Recension der Attieusbriefe Cicero's, Wochenschrift für klass. Philologie, iv, 1887, p. 506 ff., 1403 ff.

The commentary of Manutius, Drumann's work, Orelli's Onomasticon always to be consulted. See also Plutarque, vie de Cicéron, par Ch. Graux, Paris, 1882 (192 pp.), 8.

The chronology of the letters written before C.'s Cilician proconsulate has not yet been sufficiently examined.

Are there pseudonyms among C.'s correspondents? (Cf. Sampsiceramus).

The official documents in the letters are to be examined.

Data as to C.'s literary occupations, his library, his orations, his rhetorical and philosophical writings. Cf. the question about the Topica, M. Wallies, de fontibus topicorum Ciceronis, Halle, 1878 (48 pp.), 8.

[Iwan Müller, Bursian's Jahresbericht xiv, 1878, p. 200. C. H., Philol.

Anzeiger, ix, 1878, p. 558.]

IV. The Language of the Epistles.

Cf. H. Merguet, Lexicon zu C.'s Reden, 4 voll., Jena, 1881-86, 4.

The same, Lexicon zu den philosophischen Schriften C.'s, vol. I, Part I,
Jena, 1887 ff., 4.

[Compare also the general and monographic literature on the language of Cicero.]

A. Stinner, de eo quo C. in epistolis usus sit sermone I-III (1849-1864), Oppeln, 1879 (72 pp.), 8.

[K. E. Georges, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xxiii, 1880, p. 415 f.]

H. Hellmuth, de sermonis proprietatibus quae in prioribus Ciceronis orationibus inveniuntur. Acta seminarii philol. Erlangensis, vol. I (Erlangen, 1878, 8.), p. 101 ff.

[E. Ludwig, Bursian's Jahresbericht, x, 1877, p. 88 ff.]

Ph. Thielmann, de sermonis proprietatibus quae leguntur apud Cornificium et in primis Ciceronis libris (Dissertationes philol. Argentoratenses, vol. II), Strassburg, 1879 (113 pp.), 8.

The same, stilistische Bemerkungen zu den Jugendwerken Ciceros, Blätter für das bayerische Gymnasialschulwesen, 1880, p. 202 ff., 352 ff.

[G. Landgraf, Zeitschrift für das Gymnasialwesen, 1879, p. 593 ff. E. W., Philol. Anzeiger, x, 1879–80, p. 51 ff.]

The same, Bemerkungen zum sermo cotidianus in den Briefen Ciceros und an Cicero, Blätter für das bayerische Gymnasialschulwesen, 1880, p. 275 ff., 317 ff.

G. Landgraf, de Ciceronis elocutione in orationibus pro P. Quinctio et pro Sex. Roscio Amerino conspicua, Würzburg, 1878 (51 pp.), 8.

[E. Wölfflin, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1878, p. 481 ff. Iwan Müller, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xiv, 1878, p. 201. K. E. Georges, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xxiii, 1880, p. 416.]

J. H. Schmalz, 1881-82, v. infra No. vi.

Aem. Zimmermann, de epistulari temporum usu Ciceroniano quaestiones grammaticae, Rastenburg, 1886 (25 pp.), 4.

[Wölfflin's Archiv, III, 1886, p. 569.]

P. Meyer, de Ciceronis in epistulis ad Atticum sermone, Bayreuth, 1887 (60 pp.), 8.

[Th. Stangl, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1887, p. 1729.]

See also Wölflin's Archiv, II, 1885, p. 1 ff. 50 ff. 157 ff., III, 1886, p. 177 ff., IV, 1887, p. 52 ff., etc.

Use of tenses and modes.

The relative constructions.

The particular les (different ways of connecting the constructions together). The Greek quotations, and use of the Greek language in general.

R. Mücke, de locis aliquot Graecis, qui insunt in Ciceronis ad Atticum epistulis commentatio, Ilfeld (Nordhausen), 1878 (14 pp.), 4.

[Iwan Müller, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xiv, 1878, p. 236 f.]

R. Boltzenthal, de Graeci sermonis proprietatibus . . . in Ciceronis epistulis, Cüstrin, 1884 (11 pp.), 4.

[F. Becher, Philol. Rundschau, iv, 1884, p. 1295.]

V. The Epistles ad Brutum.

F. Becher, de Ciceronis quae feruntur ad Brutum epistulis, Harburg (Jena), 1875 (22 pp.), 4.

[Iwan Müller, Bursian's Jahresbericht, x, 1877, p. 268 ff. K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 528 f.]

The same, de locis quibusdam (Ps.) Ciceronis epistularum ad Brutum, Philologus, Supplementband iv, 1883, p. 502 ff.

The same, die sprachliche Eigenart der Briefe ad Brutum, Philologus, xlv, 1885, p. 471 ff.

R. Heine, quaestionum de M. T. C. et M. Bruti epistolis mutuis capita duo, Leipzig, 1876 (42 pp.), 8.

C. G. Cobet, ad epistolas Ciceronis et M. Bruti, Mnemosyne, vii, 1879, p. 262 ff.

P. Meyer, Untersuchung über die Frage der Echtheit des Briefwechsels Cicero ad Brutum sowohl vom historischen als vom sprachlichen Gesichtspunkt aus, Zürich (Stuttgart), 1881 (viii, 210 pp.), 8.

[G. Andresen, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1881, p. 1615. . . r . . . , Philol. Wochenschrift, ii, 1882, p. 1169. F. Becher, Philol. Anzeiger, xii, 1882, p. 102 ff. Wölfflin's Archiv, IV, 1887, p. 634.]

L. Gurlitt, die Briefe C.s an Brutus in Bezug auf ihre Echtheit geprüft, (Philologus, Supplementband iv, p. 551 ff.), Göttingen, 1883 (78 pp.), 8.
[J. H. Schmalz, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, iv, 1884, p. 389. E. Ruete, Philol. Rundschau, iv, 1884, p. 592 ff.]

The same, der Archetypus der Brutusbriefe, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1885, p. 561 ff. Compare ibid., p. 855 f.

The same, drei Suasorien in Briefform (Cieero's ep. ad Brutum, i, 15, § 3-11, 16, 17), Philologus, Supplementband v, 1886, p. 591 ff.

O. E. Schmidt, zu Ciceros Briefwechsel mit M. Brutus, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1884, pp. 127 ff., 559 ff.; zur Kritik und Erklärung der Briefe Ciceros an M. Brutus ibid. p. 617 ff.

[F. Becher, Philol. Anzeiger, xiv, 1884, p. 315 ff.]

K. Schirmer, über die Sprache des M. Brutus in den bei Cicero überlieferten Briefen, Metz, 1884 (26 pp.), 4. [H. J. Schmalz, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, iv, 1884, p. 1406. O.
E. Schmidt, Wochenschrift für class. Philologie, i, 1884, p. 1450 ff.
L. Gurlitt, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1884, p. 885 ff. F.
Becher, Neue Philol. Rundschau, vi, 1886, p. 72.]

J. van der Vliet, in Ciceronis epistolas ad M. Brutum, Jahrbücher für class.

Philologie, 1885, p. 374 f.

A. von Streng, de Ciceronis ad M. Brutum epistolarum libro qui II inscribitur, Helsingfors, 1885 (119 pp.), 8.

C. Wermuth, quaestiones de M. T. C. epistolarum ad M. Brutum I. IX, Basel, 1887 (40 pp.), 8.

[L. Gurlitt, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, vii, 1887, p. 1066 f.]

Are there any facts recorded in the correspondence between C. and M. Brutus which cannot be combined with history?

Is the language of both parts of the correspondence different from the language of Cicero and that of his time?

VI. Cicero's other correspondents and their language.

W. S. Teuffel, zu den Briefen des Caelius, 'Kritisches und Exegetisches' (Tübingen, 1878, 4.), p. 45.

E. Opitz, quo sermone ei, qui ad Ciceronem litteras dederunt, usi sunt, Naumburg a. d. Saale, 1879 (20 pp.), 4.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 531 f.]

L. Gurlitt, der Briefwechsel zwischen Cicero und D. Brutus, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1880, p. 609 ff. (Above No. I.)

J. H. Schmalz, über die Latinität des Vatinius in den bei Cicero ad fam., v. 9, 10, erhaltenen Briefen, Mannheim, 1881 (24 pp.), 4.

[K. E. Georges, Philol. Rundschau, i, 1881, p. 1302 f. G. Andresen, Philol. Wochenschrift, i, 1881, p. 113 f.]

The same, über den Sprachgebrauch der nichteiceronischen Briefe in den ciceronischen Briefsammlungen, Zeitschrift für das Gymnasialwesen, 1881, p. 87 ff.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xi, 1881, p. 531 f. K. E. Georges, Philol. Rundschau, i, 1881, p. 531 f. K. Lehmann, Zeitschrift für das Gymnasialwesen, 1882, Jahresbericht, p. 24.]

The same, über den Sprachgebrauch des Asinius Pollio in den bei Cicero ad fam., x, 31-35, erhaltenen Briefen u. s. w., Festschrift für die 36 Philologenversammlung (Karlsruhe, 1882, 4.), p. 76 ff.

[K. Schirmer, Philol. Anzeiger, xiii, 1882, p. 760 ff. C. Wagener, Philol. Rundschau, ii, 1882, p. 1525 ff. K. Lehmann, Berliner Philol. Wochenschrift, iii, 1883, p. 483 f.]

O. Harneker, Cicero und die Attiker, Jahrbücher für class. Philologie, 1882, p. 604 ff.

Willmann, ein Brief Ciceros (ad fam., v, 12, L. Lucceius), Halberstadt, 1883, 4., p. 7-16. E. Schelle, de M. Antonii triumviri quae supersunt epistulis partic. prior, Frankenberg i. S., 1883 (55 pp.), 4.

[H. Schiller, Bursian's Jahresbericht, xxxvi, 1883, p. 496. J. H. Schmalz, id. xxxix, 1884, p. 71. L. Gurlitt, Philol. Rundschau, iv, 1884, p. 336 f.]

H. Wieschhoelter, de M. Caelio Rufo oratore, Leipzig, 1885 (67 pp.), 8.

F. Becher, über den Sprachgebrauch des M. Caelius Rufus, Ilfeld, 1888 (41 pp.),.4.

F. Burg, de M. Caelii Rufi genere dicendi, Freiburg (Leipzig), 1888 (78 pp.), 8.

[On Becher and Burg, H. Hellmuth, Wölfflin's Archiv, V, 1888, p. 305 ff. F. Becher, Deutsche Litteratur-Zeitung, 1888, p. 979.]

The language of Cicero's correspondents has not yet been sufficiently compared with that of Cornificius, Cæsar, Varro, Sallustius, Cornelius Nepos.

VII. The Epistles ad Quintum Fratrem and the Commentariolum de petitione consulatus.

Grundriss, p. 94.

F. Antoine, Ciceronis ad Q. Fratrem ep. prima, Paris, 1888, xlvii, 77 pp., 8.

Eussner's dissertation on the commentariolum should be closely examined.

It is desirable that students know the monographs before they begin to work, in order to avoid doing again what others have done; though in most cases the work must be done in another way. Pamphlets (dissertations and school-programmes) may be obtained of Focke or Simmel in Leipzig. In reading the monographs, it offers some advantages to begin with the latest, and then to work backwards to the older ones.

BERLIN, September, 1888.

SOUTHERN BRANCH
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES, CALIF.

49078

Copies of this Bibliography will be sent by the Publication Agency of the Johns Hopkins University, postage paid, on receipt of the price, fifteen cents a copy.





In the absence of Professor Warren, Professor HÜBNER of the University of Berlin has kindly consented to act as Examiner in Latin. In this capacity he has provided a scheme of study for the special subject, previously announced—the Epistles of Cicero,—will criticise the papers prepared by the advanced students of Latin, and will set and conduct the written examinations required for the Ph. D. degree, when Latin is taken as the principal or as the subordinate subject.

Emil Hübner, who is one of the foremost epigraphists of our day, was born in Düsseldorf, 1834, took the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Bonn in 1854, and resided for several years in Italy, Spain, and Portugal, where he was engaged in epigraphic researches. His connection with the University of Berlin, in which he holds the position of Professor of Classical Philology, dates from 1863. He was Dean of the Philosophical Faculty in 1879-80. He is one of the editors of the Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum, and in 1885 published as a part of his epigraphic studies the monumental work entitled Exempla Scripturae Epigraphicae. He founded and for many years edited the Hermes, one of the leading philological journals of Germany, to which, as to other periodicals, he has contributed many valuable monographs. His four Grundrisse (G. der röm. Litteraturgeschichte, G. zu Vorlesungen über die lat, Grammatik, G. zu Vorlesungen über Geschichte u. Encyclopädie der classischen Altertumskunde, G. zu Vorlesungen über die griechische Syntax) have found wide acceptance, and some of them have passed through several editions. Among his recent contributions to the literature of his special department may be noted the article on Roman Inscriptions in the thirteenth volume of the Encyclopaedia Britannica, and a monograph on the same subject in Iwan Müller's Handbuch der classischen Altertumswissenschaft, Band I. He is an Hon. LL. D. of the University of Cambridge (England), an honor conferred in recognition of his epigraphic work in England, where he has many friends, and his services in Spain and Portugal have won him merited distinction in the Peninsula. Professor Hübner's command of English enables him to lend ready guidance by letter to inquiring students, and his uniform courtesy and kindness give assurance that he will respond promptly and generously to any appeal for help on the part of students.

